

INTERROGATING YOUTH MIRANDA WAIVERS AND CONFESSIONS: A DEVELOPMENTAL PERSPECTIVE

EMILY HANEY-CARON AND JOHANNA HELLGREN



Notes

¹ *In re Joseph H.*, 188 Cal. Rptr. 3d 171 (Cal. Ct. App.), *review denied*, 367 P.3d 1 (Cal. 2015), *cert. denied*, 137 S. Ct. 34 (2016).

² *Petition for Writ of Certiorari, J.H. v. California*, 2016 WL 792197, at *8-9 (U.S. Jan. 4, 2016) (No. 15-1086).

³ *Id.*

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ *In re Joseph H.*, *supra* note 1.

⁶ *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).

⁷ *See, e.g., Haley v. Ohio*, 332 U.S. 596, 601 (1948).

⁸ *In re Gault*, 387 U.S. 1 (1967).

⁹ *Fare v. Michael C.*, 442 U.S. 707, 725 (1979).

¹⁰ *J.D.B. v. North Carolina*, 564 U.S. 261, 272-274 (2011).

¹¹ *Roper v. Simmons*, 543 U.S. 551, 569 (2005).

¹² Elizabeth Cauffman & Laurence Steinberg, *Emerging Findings from Research on Adolescent Development and Juvenile Justice*, 7 VICTIMS & OFFENDERS 428, 433 (2012).

¹³ Laurence Steinberg, *Adolescent Development and Juvenile Justice*, 5 ANN. REV. CLINICAL PSYCHOL. 459, 465–71 (2009).

¹⁴ Steinberg, *supra* note 13.

¹⁵ Sarah-Jayne Blakemore & Trevor W. Robbins, *Decision-Making in the Adolescent Brain*, 15 NATURE NEUROSCIENCE 1184, 1186 (2012).

¹⁶ Laurence Steinberg, *The Influence of Neuroscience on US Supreme Court Decisions About Adolescents' Criminal Culpability*, 14 NATURE REVIEWS NEUROSCIENCE 513, 513 (2013).

¹⁷ Blakemore & Robbins, *supra* note 15.

¹⁸ *See* Naomi E. S. Goldstein, Emily Haney-Caron, Marsha Levick, & Danielle Whiteman, *Waiving Good-Bye to Waiver: A Developmental Argument Against Youths' Waiver of Miranda Rights*, 21 LEGIS. & PUB. POL'Y 1, 24-47 (2018)

¹⁹ *Id.* at 29.

²⁰ Richard Rogers et al., *Mired in Miranda Misconceptions: A Study of Legally Involved Juveniles at Different Levels of Psychosocial Maturity*, 32 BEHAV. SCI. & L. 104, 111 (2014).

²¹ *See* Richard Rogers et al., *An Analysis of Miranda Warnings and Waivers: Comprehension and Coverage*, 31 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 177, 182–86 (2007); Rachel Kahn, Patricia A. Zapf & Virginia G. Cooper, *Readability of Miranda Warnings and Waivers: Implications for Evaluating Miranda Comprehension*, 30 LAW & PSYCHOL. REV. 119, 132 (2006).

²² Goldstein et al., *supra* note 18, at 30-35.

²³ Naomi E. S. Goldstein, Heather Zelle, & Thomas Grisso, MIRANDA RIGHTS COMPREHENSION INSTRUMENTS 93 (2014).

²⁴ *See generally id.*

²⁵ *Id.* at 104.

²⁶ *See, e.g.,* Allison D. Redlich, Melissa Silverman, & Hans Steiner, *Pre-Adjudicative and Adjudicative Competence in Juveniles and Young Adults*, 21 BEHAV. SCI. & L. 393, 400–04 (2003).

²⁷ *See* Cauffman & Steinberg, *supra* note 12.

²⁸ *See* Kyle C. Scherr & Stephanie Madon, *You Have the Right to Understand: The Deleterious Effect of Stress on Suspects' Ability to Comprehend Miranda*, 36 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 275, 278–79 (2012).

²⁹ Barry C. Feld, *Behind Closed Doors: What Really Happens When Cops Question Kids*, 23 CORNELL J.L. & PUB. POL'Y 395, 429 (2013).

³⁰ *See, e.g.,* FRED E. INBAU, JOHN E. REID, JOSEPH P. BUCKLEY, & BRIAN C. JAYNE, CRIMINAL INTERROGATION AND CONFESSIONS 99 (4th ed. 2001).

³¹ *See generally id.*

³² Feld, *supra* note 29.

- ³³ Richard A. Leo & Welsh S. White, *Adapting to Miranda: Modern Interrogators' Strategies for Dealing with the Obstacles Posed by Miranda*, 84 MINN. L. REV. 397, 432 (1999).
- ³⁴ See BARRY C. FELD, KIDS, COPS, AND CONFESSIONS: INSIDE THE INTERROGATION ROOM 72 (2013).
- ³⁵ Jennifer L. Woolard, Hayley M. D. Cleary, Samantha A. S. Harvell, & Rusan Chen, *Examining Adolescents' and their Parents' Conceptual & Practical Knowledge of Police Interrogation: A Family Dyad Approach*, 37 J. YOUTH & ADOLESCENCE 685, 690–94 (2008).
- ³⁶ Allison D. Redlich & Gail S. Goodman, *Taking Responsibility for an Act Not Committed: The Influence of Age and Suggestibility*, 27 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 141, 148–49 (2003).
- ³⁷ Feld, *supra* note 29, at 438–40.
- ³⁸ [42 PA. C.S.A. § 6326\(a\)](#) (West 2018).
- ³⁹ See, e.g., [Commonwealth v. Harvey](#), 812 A.2d 1190, 1198–1199 (Pa. 2002).
- ⁴⁰ Woolard et al., *supra* note 35, at 692.
- ⁴¹ Thomas Grisso, *Juveniles' Capacities to Waive Miranda Rights: An Empirical Analysis*, 68 CALIF. L. REV. 1134, 1155 (1980).
- ⁴² Jodi L. Viljoen, Jessica Klaver, & Ronald Roesch, *Legal Decisions of Preadolescent and Adolescent Defendants: Predictors of Confessions, Pleas, Communication with Attorneys, and Appeals*, 29 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 253, 261 (2005).
- ⁴³ Woolard et al., *supra* note 35, at 695–96.
- ⁴⁴ See FELD, *supra* note 34.
- ⁴⁵ See GRISSO, *supra* note 41.
- ⁴⁶ See INBAU ET AL., *supra* note 30.
- ⁴⁷ See Goldstein et al., *supra* note 18.
- ⁴⁸ *Id.*
- ⁴⁹ Kenneth J. King, *Waiving Childhood Goodbye: How Juvenile Courts Fail to Protect Children from Unknowing, Unintelligent, and Involuntary Waivers of Miranda Rights*, 2006 WIS. L. REV. 431, 431–432 (2006).
- ⁵⁰ GOLDSTEIN ET AL., *supra* note 23.
- ⁵¹ For a comprehensive overview of the scientific literature on youth *Miranda* waivers and confessions, see generally Goldstein et al., *supra* note 18.
- ⁵² Steven A. Drizin & Richard A. Leo, *The Problem of False Confessions in the Post-DNA World*, 82 N.C. L. REV. 891, 944 (2004).